

असाधारण

EXTRAORDINARY

भाग II_खण=-2

PART II—Section 2

प्राधिकार से प्रकाशित

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

इस भाग में भिन्न पृष्ठ संख्या दी जाती हैं जिससे कि यह अलग संकलन के रूप में रखा जा सके। Separate paging is given to this Part in order that it may be filed as a separate compilation.

LOK SABHA

The following Report of the Select Committee on the Bill to provide for the constitution of a High Court for the Union territory of Delhi, for the extension of the jurisdiction of that High Court to the Union territory of Himachal Pradesh and for matters connected therewith was presented to Lok Sabha on the 18th April, 1966:—

Composition of the Committee

Shri S. V. Krishnamoorthy Rao-Chairman

MEMBERS

- 2. Dr. M. S. Aney
- 3. Shri Bhagwat Jha Azad
- 4. Shri Ramchandra Vithal Bade

- 5. Shri S. M. Banerjee
- 6. Chodhury Brahm Perkash
- 7. Shrimati Renu Chakravartty
- 8. Shri Gajraj Singh Rao
- 9. Shri Shiv Charan Gupta
- 10. Shri K. Hanumanthaiya
- 11. Shri Himmatsinhji
- 12. Shri Hari Vishnu Kamath
- 13. Sardar Kapur Singh
- 14. Shri Bakar Ali Mirza
- 15. Shri Gulzarilal Nanda
- 16. Shri Naval Prabhakar
- 17. Shri J. B. Muthyal Rao
- 18. Shri Sham Nath
- 19. Shri Vidya Charan Shukla
- 20. Dr. L. M. Singhvi
- 21. Shri U. M. Trivedi
- 22. Shri Ram Sewak Yadav
- 23. Shri Jaisukhlal Hathi

DRAFTSMEN

- 1. Shri K. K. Sundaram, Joint Secretary and Draftsman, Ministry of Law.
- 2. Shri V. S. Bhashyam, Assistant Draftsman, Ministry of Law.

REPRESENTATIVES OF THE MINISTRY

- 1. Shri C. P. Gupta, Joint Secretary, Ministry of Home Affairs.
- 2. Shri Mangli Prasad, Deputy Secretary, Ministry of Home Affairs.

SECRETARIAT

Shri M. C. Chawla - Deputy Secretary.

REPORT OF THE SELECT COMMITTEE

- I, the Chairman of the Select Committee to which the Bill* to provide for the constitution of a High Court for the Union territory of Delhi, for the extension of the jurisdiction of that High Court to the Union territory of Himachal Pradesh and for matters connected therewith was referred, having been authorised to submit the report on their behalf present their report, with the Bill as amended by the Committee annexed thereto.
- 2. The Bill was introduced in Lok Sabha on the 6th December, 1965. The motion for consideration of the Bill was moved by Shri Jaisukhlal Hathi, the Minister of State in the Ministry of Home Affairs, on the 9th December, 1965. On the 15th February, 1966, Shri Hathi moved a motion for reference of the Bill to a Select Committee which was adopted on the same day.
 - 3. The Committee held five sittings in all.
- 4. The first sitting of the Committee was held on the 16th February, 1966, to draw up a programme of work. The Committee at this sitting decided to hear evidence from associations, etc., desirous of presenting their views before the Committee and to issue a press communique inviting memoranda for the purpose. The Committee also decided to hear the views of the concerned Bar Associations, if they so desired.
- 5. The report of the Committee was to be presented by the 28th February, 1966. As this could not be done, the Committee, at their first sitting held on the 16th February, 1966, decided to ask for extension of time for presentation of their report upto the 4th April, 1966. Necessary motion was brought before the House and adopted on the 22nd February, 1966. At their third sitting held on the 1st April, 1966, the Committee decided to ask for further extension of time upto the 18th April, 1966 which was granted by the House on the 4th April, 1966.
- 6. Eleven memoranda/representations/resolution on the Bill were received by the Committee from different Bar Associations/associations/individuals.

^{*}Published in the Gazette of India, Extraordinary, Part II, Section 2, dated the 6th December, 1965.

- 7. At their second and third sittings held on, the 14th March and 1st April, 1966, the Committee heard the evidence given by the representatives of two associations, namely P.C.S. (Judicial Branch) Association, Punjab and Delhi, New Delhi and the District Bar Association, Gurgaon, respectively.
- 8. The Committee have decided that the evidence given before them should be laid on the Table of the House in extenso.
- 9. The Committee considered the Bill clause by clause at their third and fourth sittings held on the 1st and 5th April, 1966.
- 10. The Committee considered and adopted the report on the 11th April, 1966.
- 11. The observations of the Committee with regard to the principal changes proposed in the Bill are detailed in the succeeding paragraphs.
- 12. Clause 1 and enacting formula.—The changes made therein are of a consequential nature.
- 13. Clause 4.—The Committee feel that since there will be no Chief Justice of the Delhi High Court in office before the "appointed day", the provision in Article 217 of the Constitution regarding consultation with the Chief Justice of the High Court by the President should not be made applicable to appointment of other Judges of the High Court before the "appointed day".
 - Sub-clause (1) (a) of the clause has been amended accordingly.
 - 14. Clause 9.—The amendment is of a drafting nature.
- 15. Clause 16.—The Committee are of the opinion that on the coming into being of the Delhi High Court, all proceedings in respect of suits the value of which exceeds twenty-five thousand rupees and which are pending before subordinate courts in the Union territory of Delhi should stand transferred to the Delhi High Court.

The clause has been substituted accordingly.

- 16. Clause 17.—Consequent upon the amendment made in clause 16, a corresponding provision has been made in sub-clause (7) with regard to the Union territory of Himachal Pradesh.
- 17. Clause 18.—The amendments made in the clause are of a drafting nature.

- 18. Clause 20.—The Committee are of the view that the orders made by the Central Government under this clause should be laid before each House of Parliament and they should be subject to modification, if any, by Parliament. Necessary provision has been made for this purpose by adding new sub-clause (2).
- 19. The Select Committee recommend that the Bill as amended be passed.

NEW DELHI. The 11th April, 1966. S. V. KRISHNAMOORTHY RAO, Chairman, Select Committee.

MINUTES OF DISSENT

I

According to the Constitution (Art. 241) there can be established a High Court for a Union territory and Art. 241(4) declares that "Nothing in this article derogates from the power of Parliament to extend or exclude the jurisdiction of a High Court for a State to, or from, any Union territory or part thereof" which means that the jurisdiction of a High Court for a State can be extended to a Union territory or a part thereof but it is clear that the jurisdiction of a High Court cannot be extended, so as to include any other Union territory. Here in this Bill both Delhi and Himachal are Union territories. This requires amendment of the Constitution and it cannot be deemed to have been done by this Bill by itself.

- 2. The areas surrounding and near Delhi are Districts Hissar, Mohindergarh, Gurgaon, Rohtak, Karnal and Ambala of Punjab. It is well established principle of justice that it should be efficiently and cheaply available. It is in the interest of public and in consonance with the principle that there should be a common High Court for these Districts of Hariana and Delhi (which is in common parlance called old Delhi Territory—with custom and law common to them since times immemorial). The Bar Associations of these areas have also demanded it and submitted memoranda and led evidence. If clause 4 of the Bill amounts to amendment of Constitution, it can be also to same effect if these Districts are also in the extended jurisdiction of Delhi High Court and amendment can be made.
- 3. Himachal Pradesh area is 200 miles to 500 miles away from Delhi, with Hariana area of Punjab intervening, while the areas of Hariana mentioned above only 15 to 80 miles away and contiguous. On grounds of justice, convenience, good of public there should be common High Court for these areas of Hariana Prant and Delhi.
- 4. Now that it has been declared that there is to be Punjabi Suba and Hariana Pradesh or Prant and also some material changes in Himachal Pradesh *i.e.*, inclusion of Kangra, Kullu, Lahaul and Spiti Districts in that Pradesh, another Bill shall have to be brought

to include these areas under the High Court of Delhi and constitutional amendments would be necessary. This process is being expedited and boundary commission is being appointed for demarcation and, at the latest, these States are to be established by October, 1966. It is also not certain whether new Himachal Pradesh is to be a State or a Union territory and, therefore, very many changes shall be necessary, including those of the Constitution. Further, the assurance that there should be a permanent Bench at Simla, would cause huge unnecessary expenditure to the States and the Centre.

5. In view of what has been stated above, it is advisable and in public interest and to avoid complications and difficulties, that till the question of further division of Punjab by metes and bounds is decided, this Bill be kept in abeyance, as it would require amendments in the light of delimitation of Punjabi Suba, Hariana Prant and Himachal Pradesh.

The point that it would delay this matter is not very material or valid ground, since this Bill cannot be passed till monsoon session of Parliament and by that time the Bill for creation of Punjabi Suba etc. would also be passed and in summer the High Court remains closed for about two months.

- 6. When the division of Punjab and creation of Punjabi Suba, Hariana Prant and Himachal Pradesh is established and there would be clear picture for enacting the law and there would be no constitutional difficulty or doubts, as there can be, rather there shall be High Court for a State and thus there can be a High Court for Hariana Prant (State) and its jurisdiction can be according to Art. 241 of the Constitution extended to Delhi (Union) territory and even Himachal Pradesh if it remains a Union territory. There is no prohibition according to Constitution that the seat of the High Court be at Delhi with a common High Court for Hariana Prant and Delhi (also Himachal Pradesh).
- 7. Considering all the aspects it is desirable that it be kept in abeyance, as stated above or in the alternative be amended so as to have its jurisdiction over Hariana Prant area and clause (4) may be deemed as amendment of the Constitution, with this provision.

NEW DELHI; The 11th April, 1966. GAJRAJ SINGH RAO.

п

When the Delhi High Court Bill was on the anvil of Parliament, and was being considered by a Select Committee of the House, a decision was taken by Government to reorganize the State of Punjab on a linguistic basis. This decision should have induced second thoughts on the part of Government with regard to this Bill.

With Punjabi Suba and Haryana State in the offing which will probably affect the status of Himachal Pradesh as a separate entity, the Bill should either have been withdrawn or kept in abeyance.

Clause 17 of the Bill seeks to extend the jurisdiction of the High Court of Delhi to the Union territory of Himachal Pradesh. The future of Himachal Pradesh is now in the balance. Moreover, it would be practicable to have a common High Court for Haryana State and Delhi, but it would be impossible, constitutionally, to extend the jurisdiction of the High Court of Delhi (Union territory) to Haryana (State). The extension of the jurisdiction of the High Court of Haryana to Delhi would, however, be possible, and even desirable.

3. The vicissitudes of this Bill have amply vindicated the plea, which I have often made in the House, that all Bills, except those of a routine or minor character, should invariably be referred to a Joint Committee of both Houses or a Select Committee of the House.

NEW DELHI; The 15th April, 1966. H. V. KAMATH.

Ш

The Bill is, as I conceive the matter, unconstitutional since according to Article 231 of the Constitution, there cannot be a common High Court for two Union Territories and according to Article 241, though the jurisdiction of a State High Court can be extended to a Union

Territory or a part of a Union Territory, the jurisdiction of a High Court in a Union Territory cannot be extended to another Union Territory.

The State of Punjab is to be reorganised in the immediate near future and Punjabi-speaking and Haryana Prant States are to be demarcated and reorganised, and also, some parts, Kangra etc. are likely to be included in H.P. Union Territory, and thus the Bill, even if passed, would require multiple amendments consequent upon the reorganisation of these States which is likely to be affected by the beginning of October, 1966. The Haryana State, when formed, can, according to Article 214, have its own High Court and its jurisdiction can then be extended to the Delhi Union Territory which would be as convenient as in public interest as well as in the interest of justice for both these territories. The Punjabi-speaking State can have its own High Court, and considering the basic principle of convenience of dispensing justice, the proposed Haryana State and Delhi should have a common High Court.

The clause in the Bill, by itself, even if enacted, cannot have the effect of amending the Constitution, and amending of the Constitution, in any case, is absolutely necessary, and Article 368 of the Constitution requires a special procedure and special voting for amendment of the Constitution. Therefore, it is appropriate and expedient that this Bill be kept in abeyance till the new proposed States are formed and come into existence, as this would save a great many complications which are otherwise most likely to arise.

New Delhi; The 15th April, 1966.

KAPUR SINGH.

Bill No. 90A of 1965

THE DELHI HIGH COURT BILL, 1965

(As reported by the Select Committee)

(Words underlined or side-lined indicate the amendments suggested by the Committee.)

A BILL

to provide for the constitution of a High Court for the Union territory of Delhi, for the extension of the jurisdiction of that High Court to the Union territory of Himachal Pradesh and for matters connected therewith.

BE it enacted by Parliament in the Seventeenth Year of the Republic of India as follows:—

Short title and commencement.

- 1. (1) This Act may be called the Delhi High Court Act, 1966.
- (2) Section 17 shall come into force on such date as the Central Government may, by notification in the Official Gazette, appoint; and 5 the remaining provisions of this Act shall come into force at once.

Defini-

- 2. In this Act, unless the context otherwise requires,—
- (a) "appointed day" means the date appointed under section 3;

- (b) "notified order" means order notified in the Official Gazette.
- 3. (1) As from such date as the Central Government may, by High notification in the Official Gazette, appoint, there shall be a High Court, 5 Court for the Union territory of Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the High Court of Delhi).
 - (2) The principal seat of the High Court of Delhi shall be at Delhi or at such other place as the President may, by notified order, appoint.
- (3) Notwithstanding anything contained in sub-section (2), the 10 Judges and Division Courts of the High Court of Delhi may sit at such other place or places other than its principal seat as the Chief Justice may, with the approval of the President, appoint.
- 4. (1) The provisions of Chapter V of Part VI of the Constitution Exceptions shall, in their application to the High Court of Delhi, have effect and modi-15 subject to the following exceptions and modifications, namely: --
 - (a) in article 217, the words "the Governor of the State" to which shall be omitted and in relation to appointments to be made the proviunder sub-section (2), that article shall be construed as if the Chapter V words "and, in the case of appointment of a Judge other than of Part the Chief Justice, the Chief Justice of the High Court." had also been omitted:
 - (b) in article 219, the reference to the Governor of the State, High and in the proviso to clause (3) of article 227, the reference to Court of the Governor, shall be construed as a reference to the adminis- Delhi.
 - (c) the provisions of article 225 shall not apply;
 - (d) in article 229,—

trator of the Union territory of Delhi;

- (i) the references to the Governor of the State shall be construed as references to the administrator of the Union territory of Delhi;
- (ii) the references to the State Public Service Commission, the Legislature of the State and the Consolidated Fund of the State shall be construed, respectively, as references to the Union Public Service Commission, Parliament and the Consolidated Fund of India;
- (e) the provisions of article 230 shall apply subject to the modifications that---
 - (i) in clause (1) thereof, for the words "High Court" in both the places where they occur, the words "High Court for a Union territory" and for the words "any Union territory", the words "any other Union territory" shall be substituted;

fications subject sions of VI of the Constitution apply to the

35

30

20

25

40

- (ii) for clause (2) thereof, the following clause shall be substituted, namely:—
 - "(2) Where the High Court for a Union territory exercises jurisdiction in relation to another Union territory, the reference in article 227 to the administrator of the Union territory of Delhi shall, in relation to any rules, forms or tables for subordinate courts in that other Union territory, be construed as a reference to the administrator of that other Union territory."
- (2) Between the coming into force of this section and the appoint- IO ed day, the President may, after consultation with the Chief Justice of India, appoint the Chief Justice of the High Court of Delhi and as many other Judges of the said High Court as he thinks fit, and any appointments so made shall take effect as from the appointed day.

Jurisdiction of High Court of Delhi.

- 5. (1) The High Court of Delhi shall have, in respect of the territories for the time being included in the Union territory of Delhi, all such original, appellate and other jurisdiction as, under the law in force immediately before the appointed day, is exercisable in respect of the said territories by the High Court of Punjab.
- (2) Notwithstanding anything contained in any law for the time _{2C} being in force, the High Court of Delhi shall also have in respect of the said territories ordinary original civil jurisdiction in every suit the value of which exceeds twenty-five thousand rupees.

Power to enrol legal practitioners, etc

- 6. (1) The High Court of Delhi shall have like powers to approve, admit, enrol, remove and suspend legal practitioners, and to make 25 rules with respect to them, as are, under the law in force immediately before the appointed day, exercisable by the High Court of Punjab.
- (2) The right of audience in the High Court of Delhi shall be regulated in accordance with the like principles, as, immediately before the appointed day, are in force with respect to the right of 30 audience in the High Court of Punjab:

Provided that subject to any rule made or direction given by the High Court of Delhi in the exercise of the powers conferred by this section, any person who immediately before the appointed day is an advocate entitled to practise or an attorney entitled to act in the 35 High Court of Punjab shall be recognised as an advocate or an attorney entitled to practise or act, as the case may be, in the High Court of Delhi.

7. Subject to the provisions of this Act, the law in force imme- Pracdiately before the appointed day with respect to practice and proce-tice dure in the High Court of Punjab shall, with the necessary modifica- and tions, apply in relation to the High Court of Delhi and accordingly dura 5 the High Court of Delhi shall have all such powers to make rules in the and orders with respect to practice and procedure as are imme- High diately before the appointed day exercisable by the High Court of Court Punjab and shall also have powers to make rules and orders with respect to practice and procedure for the exercise of its ordinary original 10 civil jurisdiction:

Provided that any rules or orders which are in force immediately before the appointed day with respect to practice and procedure in the High Court of Punjab shall, until varied or revoked by rules or orders made by the High Court of Delhi, apply with the necessary 15 modifications in relation to practice and procedure in the High Court of Delhi as if made by that High Court.

8. The law in force immediately before the appointed day with Custorespect to the custody of the Seal of the High Court of Punjab shall, with the necessary modifications, apply with respect to the Seal of 20 custody of the Seal of the High Court of Delhi.

of the the High Court of Delhi.

9. The law in force immediately before the appointed day with Form of respect to the form of writs and other processes used, issued or writs awarded by the High Court of Punjab shall, with the necessary modifications, apply with respect to the form of writs and other processes used, issued or awarded by the High Court of Delhi.

10. (1) Where a single Judge of the High Court of Delhi exer- Powers cises ordinary original civil jurisdiction conferred by sub-section (2) of Judges. of section 5 on that Court, an appeal shall lie from the judgment of the single Judge to a Division Court of that High Court.

- (2) Subject to the provisions of sub-section (1), the law in force immediately before the appointed day relating to the powers of the Chief Justice, single Judges and Division Courts of the High Court of Punjab and with respect to all matters ancillary to the exercise of those powers shall, with the necessary modifications, apply in relation to the High Court of Delhi.
- 11. The law in force immediately before the appointed day relat- Procedure ing to appeals to the Supreme Court from the High Court of as to Punjab and the Judges and Division Courts thereof shall, with the appeals to necessary modifications, apply in relation to the High Court of Court. 40 Delhi.

10

Transfer of proceedings from the High Court of Punjab to the High Court of Delhi.

- 12. (1) Except as hereinafter provided, the High Court of Punjab shall, as from the appointed day, have no jurisdiction in respect of the Union territory of Delhi.
- (2) Such proceedings pending in the High Court of Punjab immediately before the appointed day as are certified, whether 5 before or after that day, by the Chief Justice of that High Court having regard to the place of accrual of the cause of action and other circumstances to be proceedings which ought to be heard and decided by the High Court of Delhi, shall, as soon as may be after such certification, be transferred to the High Court of Delhi.
- (3) Notwithstanding anything contained in sub-sections (1) and (2) of this section and in section 5, but save as hereinafter provided, the High Court of Punjab shall have, and the High Court of Delhi shall not have, jurisdiction to entertain, hear or dispose of, appeals, applications for leave to appeal including leave to appeal to the 15 Supreme Court, applications for review and other proceedings where any such proceedings seek any relief in respect of any order passed by the High Court of Punjab before the appointed day:

Provided that if after any such proceedings have been entertained by the High Court of Punjab, it appears to the Chief Justice of that 20 High Court that they ought to be transferred to the High Court of Dethi, he shall order that they shall be so transferred, and such proceedings shall thereupon be transferred accordingly.

- (4) Any order made by the High Court of Punjab-
- (a) before the appointed day, in any proceedings transferred 25 to the High Court of Delhi by virtue of sub-section (2);
- (b) in any proceedings with respect to which the High Court of Punjab retains jurisdiction by virtue of sub-section (3), shall for all purposes have effect, not only as an order of the High Court of Punjab, but also as an order made by the High Court of 30 Delhi.

13. Any person who, immediately before the appointed day, is an advocate entitled to practise or an attorney entitled to act, in the High Court of Punjab, and was authorised to appear or to act in any proceedings transferred from that High Court to the High 35 Court of Delhi under section 12, shall have the right to appear or to act, as the case may be, in the High Court of Delhi in relation to those proceedings.

14. For the purposes of sections 12 and 17,—

(a) proceedings shall be deemed to be pending in a court 40 until that court has disposed of all issues between the parties, including any issues with respect to the taxation of the costs of

Right to appear or to act in proceedings transferred to the High Court of Delhi. Interpretation.

5

40

the proceedings and shall include appeals, applications for leave to appeal to the Supreme Court, applications for review, petitions for revision and petitions for writs:

- (b) references to a High Court shall be construed as including references to a Judge or Division Court thereof, references to an order made by a court or a Judge shall be construed as including references to a sentence, judgment or decree passed or made by that court or Judge.
- 15. Save as provided in section 4, nothing in this Act shall affect Savings. 10 the application to the High Court of Delhi of any provisions of the Constitution, and this Act shall have effect subject to any provision that may be made on or after the appointed day with respect to that High Court by any Legislature or other authority having power to make such provision.
- 16. All proceedings pending immediately before the appointed pending day in any subordinate court in the Union territory of Delhi in or proceedin relation to any such civil suit as is referred to in sub-section (2) ings of section 5 shall on that day stand transferred to the High Court before of Delhi which shall proceed to try, hear and determine the matter nate 20 as if it had been pending therein.

17. (1) As from such date as the Central Government may, by Courts in notification in the Official Gazette, appoint (hereinafter referred to Delhi as the prescribed date), the jurisdiction of the High Court of Delhi Extension shall extend to the Union territory of Himachal Pradesh.

Jurisdic-Court of

Delhi.

(2) As from the prescribed date the Court of the Judicial Com- tion of missioner for Himachal Pradesh shall cease to function and is hereby the High abolished:

Provided that nothing in this sub-section shall prejudice or affect the continued operation of any notice served, injunction 30 direction given, or proceedings taken before the prescribed date by the Court of the Judicial Commissioner for Himachal abolished by this sub-section.

- (3) The High Court of Delhi shall have, in respect of the territories for the time being included in the Union territory of Himachal 35 Pradesh,---
 - (a) all such original, appellate and other jurisdiction under the law in force immediately before the prescribed date, is exercisable in respect of the said territories by the Court of the Judicial Commissioner for Himachal Pradesh; and also
 - (b) ordinary original civil jurisdiction in every suit the value of which exceeds twenty-five thousand rupees, notwithstanding anything contained in any law for the time being in force.

- (4) All proceedings pending in the Court of the Judicial Commissioner for Himachal Pradesh before the prescribed date shall stand transferred to the High Court of Delhi.
- (5) Any order made before the prescribed date by the Court referred to in sub-section (4) shall for all purposes have effect not 5 only as an order of that Court but also as an order of the High Court of Delhi.
- (6) For the removal of doubts, it is hereby declared that the provisions of sections 6 to 11 and 13 shall, with the necessary modifications, apply to the High Court of Delhi in the exercise of juris-to diction conferred upon it by this section.
- (7) All proceedings pending immediately before the prescribed date in any subordinate court in the Union territory of Himachal Pradesh in or in relation to any such civil suit as is referred to in clause (b) of sub-section (3) shall on that date stand transferred 15 to the High Court of Delhi which shall proceed to try, hear and determine the matter as if it had been pending therein.

Rule of construction.

- 18. (1) References in any law in force in the Union territory of Delhi to the High Court of Punjab shall, as from the appointed day, be construed in relation to that Union territory as references to the ²⁰ High Court of Delhi.
- (2) References in any law in force in the Union territory of Himachal Pradesh to the High Court of Punjab or to the Court of the Judicial Commissioner for that territory shall, as from the prescribed date, be construed in relation to that Union territory as 25 references to the High Court of Delhi.

Amendment of certain laws. 19. The laws specified in the Schedule shall be amended in the manner and with effect from the date specified therein.

Power to remove difficulties.

- 20. (1) If any difficulty arises in giving effect to the provisions of this Act, the Central Government may, by notified order, make 30 such provision as appears to it to be necessary or expedient for the removal of the difficulty.
- (2) Every order made under this section shall be laid as soon as may be after it is made before each House of Parliament while it is in session for a total period of thirty days which may be comprised 35 in one session or in two successive sessions, and if, before the expiry of the session in which it is so laid or the session immediately following, both Houses agree in making any modification in the order or both Houses agree that the order should not be made, the order shall thereafter have effect only in such modified form or be of no 40

effect, as the case may be; so, however, that any such modification or annulment shall be without prejudice to the validity of anything previously done under that order.

21. For the purpose of facilitating the application of any law in Power to 5 relation to the Union territory of Delhi or Himachal Pradesh, the adapt Central Government may, before the expiration of two years from the appointed day in relation to the Union territory of Delhi and before the expiration of two years from the prescribed date in relation to the Union territory of Himachal Pradesh, by order, make 10 such adaptations and modifications of the law, whether by way of repeal or amendment, as may be necessary or expedient to give effect to the provisions of this Act and thereupon every such law shall have effect subject to the adaptations and modifications so made until altered, repealed or amended by a competent Legislature or 15 other competent authority.

THE SCHEDULE

(See section 19)

Punjab Act VI of 1918.

20

25

35

40

- I. As from the appointed day, in the Punjab Courts Act, 1918, as in force in the Union territory of Delhi,-
 - (i) in section 25, for the words "in original civil suits without limit as regards the value", the words "in every original civil suit the value of which does not exceed twenty-five thousand rupees" shall be substituted;
 - (ii) in section 26, for the words "The jurisdiction", the words and figures "Subject to the limit specified in section 25, the jurisdiction" shall be substituted.

15 of 1950.

II. As from the prescribed date, in the Judicial Commissioners' Courts (Declaration as High Courts) Act, 1950, in section 3, the expression "Himachal Pradesh," shall be omitted.

- III. As from the prescribed date, in the Himachal Pradesh (Courts) Order, 1948,---
 - (i) throughout the Order, save as otherwise expressly provided, for the words "Chief Commissioner", the words "Lieutenant Governor" shall be substituted and for the words "Judicial Commissioner" or "Court of the Judicial Commissioner", the words "High Court" shall be substituted, and such consequential amendments as the rules of grammar may require shall be made:
 - (ii) Chapter II shall be omitted;
 - (iii) in paragraph 15, the words "the Court of the Judicial Commissioner and" shall be omitted:
 - (iv) in paragraph 20, for the words "in civil suits without

limit as regards the value", the words "in every civil suit the value of which does not exceed twenty-five thousand rupees' shall be substituted;

- (v) in paragraph 21, for the words "The jurisdiction", the words and figures "Subject to the limit specified in paragraph 5 20, the jurisdiction" shall be substituted;
- (vi) in paragraph 36, sub-paragraph (1) shall be omitted and in sub-paragraph (2), for the words, brackets and figure "under sub-paragraph (1)", the words and figures "for the exercise of the jurisdiction under paragraph 35" shall be 10 substituted.

S. L. SHAKDHER,

Secretary.